UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/566,084 | 07/31/2006 | Gerd Baer | 0365 0030US | 5499 |
| 29894 7590 11/24/2008 DREISS, FUHLENDORF, STEIMLE & BECKER POSTFACH 10 37 62 D-70032 STUTTGART, | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | KEENAN, JAMES W | |
| GERMANY | TUAKI, | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3652 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/24/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | nt(s) | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/566,084 | BAER, GERD | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | James Keenan | 3652 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | _ | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| oloood in absordance with the places and of E. | x parte quayre, 1000 o.b. 11, 10 | 0.0.210. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-18</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 12-18</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | | • | | | | |
| | | • • | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| The dain of declaration is objected to by the Ex | animer. Note the attached Office | Action of format 10-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/27/06, 4/13/07. 5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| 1 apoi 110(3)innaii Bate <u>1121100, 4110101.</u> | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3652

1. The information disclosure statement filed 1/27/06 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

As can best be determined, the references cited on the PCT search report were not forwarded by the European Patent Office.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains legal terms such as "means" and "said". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 5, 6th-to-last line, the reference to "lever 7" should be --6--; and page 8, line 16, the reference to "window 14" should be --15-. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,084 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

5. Claims 9, 10, and 12-18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 9, 5th-to-last line "one one" should apparently be --on one--.

Claim 10, line 3, "pivoting" should apparently be --pivot--.

Claim 16, line 3, "said the" should apparently be --said--.

Appropriate correction is required.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim recites that the first carrier is borne for rotation on the lifting cylinder lever "at a radial separation from said pivot axis". However, this seems to contradict claim 9, which recites that the first carrier arm and the lifting cylinder lever both rotate on the same pivot axis.

- 8. Claims 9, 10, and 12-18 are allowable over the prior art of record, provided the objections noted above are corrected.
- 9. Claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,084 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 571-272-

6925. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-7097. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James Keenan/ **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3652

jwk

11/20/08